

Problem Set 5

1.- Obtain the pure strategy Nash equilibria of the games described in figures 1, 2 and 3 of problem 4 of Problem Set 2.

2.- Consider the game in extensive form of figure 4 of problem 4 of Problem Set 2.

- (a) Find the set of Nash equilibria obtained by backwards induction.
- (b) Find all Nash equilibria (pure and mixed) and verify that the equilibria obtained in (a) is a subset of the set of equilibria.

3.- Consider the three player extensive form game with imperfect information depicted in Figure 1.

- a) Show that (A,a) is not the play of a Nash equilibrium.
- b) Find a Nash equilibrium of the game. Is it a subgame perfect Nash equilibrium?

4.- (Hotelling) Suppose that we have two firms located on a line of length 1. The unit costs of the good for each store is c . Consumers incur a transportation cost tx^2 for a length of x . Consumers have unit demands; each consumer derives a utility of \bar{v} for consumption. Firm 1 is located at point $a \geq 0$ and firm 2 at point $1 - b$, where $b \geq 0$ and without loss of generality, $1 - a - b \geq 0$ (firm 1 is to the left of firm 2; $a = b = 0$ corresponds to maximal differentiation and $a + b = 1$ corresponds to minimal differentiation, i.e. perfect substitutes). Assume that the market is covered and firms sell positive quantities.

- a) Show that given a and b , firm 1 will charge

$$p_1 = c + t(1 - a - b)\left(1 + \frac{a - b}{3}\right)$$

whereas firm 2 will charge

$$p_2 = c + t(1 - a - b)\left(1 + \frac{b - a}{3}\right).$$

- b) Find firms' market shares of the market.
- c) Now consider a first stage to this game where the two firms choose their locations, knowing that prices will be chosen in the second stage as in a). Where do they locate?
- d) What would be the socially optimal location of the two firms? Compare with the market outcome.

5.- Consider the N-player version of the (Rubinstein's) bargaining game presented in class. At dates 1, $N + 1$, $2N + 1$, ..., player 1 offers a division (x_1, \dots, x_N)

of the pie with $x_i \geq 0$ for all i , and $\sum_{i=1}^N x_i \leq 1$. At dates 2, $N + 2$, $2N + 2$, ..., player 2 offers a division, and so on. When player i offers a division, the other players simultaneously accept or veto the division. If all accept, the pie is divided; if at least one vetoes, player $i + 1 \pmod{N}$ offers a division in the following period. Assuming that the players have common discount factor δ , show that, for all i , player i offering a division

$$\left(\frac{v}{1 + \dots + \delta^{N-1}}, \frac{v\delta}{1 + \dots + \delta^{N-1}}, \dots, \frac{v\delta^{N-1}}{1 + \dots + \delta^{N-1}} \right)$$

for players $i, i + 1, \dots, i + N - 1 \pmod{N}$ at each date $(kN + i)$ and the other players's accepting any offer equal or higher than those amounts is a subgame-perfect Nash equilibrium.

6.- (Application to Bilateral Monopoly) Consider a market for some intermediate good with a single seller S and a single buyer B. If the buyer buys a quantity q at a price p , then the profits to the players are as follows,

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi_B(p, q) &= R(q) - pq \\ \Pi_S(p, q) &= pq - C(q) \end{aligned}$$

where $R(q)$ is the revenue obtained by the buyer by transforming the quantity q of the input into some output and then selling the output on some final good market, and $C(q)$ is the cost to the seller of producing the quantity q of the input. Assume that $R(0) = 0$, $R'(q) > 0$, $R''(q) < 0$, $C(0) = 0$, $C'(q) > 0$, $C''(q) > 0$ and $R'(0) > C'(0)$.

Players bargain over the price and quantity of trade according to the (infinite) model presented in class. However, here, an offer is a pair (p, q) where $p \geq 0$ and $q \geq 0$. If they reach an agreement at time $t\Delta$ on a pair (p, q) , then player i 's payoff is $\Pi_i(p, q) \exp(-r_i t\Delta)$

We look for a SPNE such that, **in equilibrium**, players play stationary strategies (stationary for each player) and *any offer is accepted*. Let (p_i^*, q_i^*) denote the equilibrium offer that player i makes whenever she has to make an offer. Let $V_i^* \equiv \Pi_i(p_i^*, q_i^*)$.

a) Start with seller's offer. Argue that $\Pi_B(p_S^*, q_S^*) = \delta_B V_B^*$ and that optimality requires the seller to offer $q_S^* = q^e$ where q^e is the unique solution to $R'(q) = C'(q)$. Notice that the equilibrium quantity traded maximizes total surplus or gains from trade ($R'(q) = C'(q)$) and since it is reached in the first period, the SPNE is Pareto efficient. Denote $\pi = R(q^e) - C(q^e)$.

b) Using a) and a symmetric argument for buyer's offer, find a system of two equations in (V_B^*, V_S^*) . Show that there is a SPNE where players obtain $(V_B^*, \delta_S V_S^*)$ if the buyer is the first in making an offer and $(V_S^*, \delta_B V_B^*)$, where

$$V_B^* = \frac{\pi(1 - \delta_S)}{1 - \delta_B \delta_S} \text{ and } V_S^* = \frac{\pi(1 - \delta_B)}{1 - \delta_B \delta_S}.$$

c) Show that

$$\begin{aligned} p_B^* &= \frac{\delta_S(1-\delta_B)}{1-\delta_B\delta_S} \frac{R(q^e)}{q^e} + \frac{1-\delta_S}{1-\delta_B\delta_S} \frac{C(q^e)}{q^e} \\ p_S^* &= \frac{1-\delta_B}{1-\delta_B\delta_S} \frac{R(q^e)}{q^e} + \frac{\delta_B(1-\delta_S)}{1-\delta_B\delta_S} \frac{C(q^e)}{q^e} \end{aligned}$$

d) Finally show that, when the time intervals tend to 0, the equilibrium trade price is, no matter who makes the offer at time 0, p^* , a convex combination of the equilibrium average revenue and average cost, where

$$p^* = \frac{r_B}{r_B + r_S} \frac{R(q^e)}{q^e} + \frac{r_S}{r_B + r_S} \frac{C(q^e)}{q^e}.$$