

Problem Set 4

1.- (Mixed strategies) Show that the two-player game illustrated below has a unique equilibrium in pure strategies and that there is no additional equilibrium in mixed strategies.

	L	M	R
U	1,-2	-2,1	0,0
M	-2,1	1,-2	0,0
D	0,0	0,0	1,1

2.- (Guessing the number) Two players, 1 and 2, choose simultaneously a positive integer up to K . If they choose the same number then player 2 pays 1 dollar to player 1; otherwise, no payment is made. Players' preferences are represented by their expected monetary payoff. Show that this game has a unique mixed strategy Nash equilibrium (and find it).

3.- (Mergers) There are three identical firms in the industry. The demand is $P(Q)=1-Q$. The marginal costs are zero.

- a) Compute the Nash Equilibrium.
- b) Show that if two of the three firms merge (transforming the industry into a duopoly), the profit of these firms decreases. Explain [What would be the changes in production and in price following the merger? Would outsider firms benefit from the merger?]
- c) What happens if all three firms merge?
- d) If the firms were competing in prices and sold differentiated products, would a merger between two of them be profitable? (Work at an intuitive level deriving the best reply functions).

4.- (Example by R.Gibbons based on a discussion by Hume, 1739) Suppose that there are I farmers, each of whom has the right to graze cows on the village common. The amount of milk a cow produces depends on the total number of cows, N , grazing on the green. The revenue produced by n_i cows is $n_i v(N)$ for $N < M$ and $v(N) = 0$ for $N > M$, where $v(0) > 0$, $v' < 0$, and $v'' \leq 0$. Each cow costs c , and cows are perfectly divisible. Suppose $v(0) > c$. Farmers simultaneously decide how many cows to purchase; all purchased cows will graze on the common.

- a) Write this as a game in normal form (do not represent).
- b) Find the Nash equilibrium, and compare against the social optimum.
- c) Discuss the relationship between this game and the Cournot oligopoly model.

5.- (Edgeworth duopoly) There are two identical firms producing a homogeneous good whose demand curve is $q=100-p$. Firms simultaneously choose prices. Each firm has a capacity constraint of K . If the firms choose the same price they share the market equally. If the prices are unequal, $p_i < p_j$, the

low-price firm, i , sells $\min(100-p_i, K)$ and the high-price firm, j , sells $\min[\max(0, 100 - p_j - K), K]$. The cost of production is 10 per unit.

a) Find firm i 's payoff function.

b) Does this game satisfy the assumptions of the theorem of the existence of Nash equilibrium in pure strategies?

c) Suppose that $30 < K < 45$. Show that this game does not have any pure-strategy Nash equilibrium. [Hint: Show it by contradiction. Firstly, show that in any Nash equilibrium firms should select the same price, p . Secondly, show that $10 < p \leq 100 - 2K$ and then that $p = 100 - 2K$. Finally, show that this is not a Nash equilibrium because any firm has an incentive to raise its price.